

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,753	09/08/2003	John Jeffrey Talley	14184-004001	1174
26161	7590 03/10/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC  QAZI, SABIHA NAIM  225 FRANKLIN ST			IHA NAIM	
BOSTON, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1616	

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$			
				_			
Office Action Summary		10/657,753	TALLEY ET AL.				
Office Action 5	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sabiha Qazi	1616				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF TH  - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin  - If the period for reply specified above  - Failure to reply within the set or extend	IS COMMUNICATION.  nder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 g date of this communication.  is less than thirty (30) days, a reply e, the maximum statutory period w ded period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on 28 Se	eptember 2003					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	`	action is non-final.					
<i>/</i> —	• —	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
		x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4					
Disposition of Claims	·						
	anding in the annlication						
	<ul> <li>Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>29-40</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>						
5) Claim(s) is/are a		Triom consideration.					
'= ''- <del></del>	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are of		•					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-40</u> are sub	•	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is obje	nated to by the Evernine						
, ,	<del>*</del>	epted or b)⊡ objected to by the I	Evaminer				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob					
	-	aminer. Note the attached Office		•			
,			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies copies of the ce	☐ None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No				
		of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-2)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr</li> </ol>		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Dr     Information Disclosure Statement(     Paper No(s)/Mail Date			ratent Application (PTO-152)				

### First Office Action on Merits

Acknowledgement is made of the response filed on 12/09/04. Applicants have elected group I claims 1-28 drawn to compounds, composition and method of use of the compounds of formula (I) without traverse. Claims 1-40 are pending. Claims 1-28 are examined, others are withdrawn from consideration as non elected invention. No claim is allowed.

Presently claimed invention is drawn to oxazole-based inhibitors of formula (I) and the method of use for fungal invasion. Various disclaimed compound are noted. Applicant is requested to disclose the prior art related to these compounds.

The instant application claims priority from Provisional Application 60/408561, filed on September 6, 2002.

#### Typographical Error

A typing error in claim 11 has been noted. A space should be inserted after "substituted".

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-6, 12-23 and 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DN 65:47722, HCAPLUS, abstract of GB 1032270. See RN 723-46-6 and 7041-71-6.

Art Unit: 1616

2. Claims 1-6, 12-23, and 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DN 57:69234, HCAPLUS, abstract of JP 36019566. See RN 90797-59-4; 91088-14-1; 91567-75-8 and 91567-75-8.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-6, 12-14, 17, 18, 19-23, 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over STEIN et al<sup>1</sup>.

STEIN et al discloses the isooxazolylbenzenesulfonamide analogues and their biological activity. See the entire document, especially Tables 2-5.

Instant invention is different from the prior art in claiming a broader, more generic scope. See compounds 1-4 in Table 2, compound 10 in Table 3, compounds 12, 2, 13, 14, and 15 in Table 4, and all of the compounds in Table 5.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to prepare oxazole-based inhibitors of fungal invasion because the prior art teaches similar compounds which embraces the presently claimed invention. The compounds of formula (I) as presently claimed overlap with the prior art compounds.

In the light of the forgoing discussion, the Examiner's ultimate legal conclusion is that the subject matter defined by the instant claims would have been obvious within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Journal of Medicinal Chemistry 1995, Vol. 38, No. 3, page 1248

Application/Control Number: 10/657,753 Page 5

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sabiha Qazi whose telephone number is (571) 272-0622. The

examiner can normally be reached on any business day.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on (571) 272-0887. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SABIHA QAZI, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

5 am

Saturday, March 5, 2005